



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/824,898	04/02/2001	Eric B. Kushnick	CRED 2164	2197	
7812	7590 02/14/2006		EXAMINER		
SMITH-HILL AND BEDELL, P.C.			CHEN, TSE W		
	CORNELL ROAD, SUITE ON, OR 97006	220	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2116	2116	
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		KUSHNICK, ERIC B.	
Office Action Summary	09/824,898 Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Tse Chen	2116	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	L		
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 E 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-14 and 17-38 is/are pending in the  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-14 and 17-38 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2001 is/are: a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  )□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
,	National Note the attached Office	7.0.1011 01 1011111 1 0 102.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati city documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/824,898 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: Amendment dated December 12, 2005.

2. Claims 1-38 are presented for examination. Applicant has canceled claims 15-16.

## **Drawings**

3. Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 11, 20-21, 23-27, 30, 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Christiansen et al., "TTCrx Reference Manual", hereinafter Christiansen.
- 6. In re claim 1, Christiansen discloses an apparatus [fig.10] for generating pulses of a third pulse sequence [out] in response to pulses of a periodic first pulse sequence [in] having a period Tp [T], wherein timing of each pulse of the third pulse sequence is adjustable with a resolution

Art Unit: 2116

[delta t] that is smaller than period Tp [Appendix A; TTCrx Architecture], the apparatus comprising:

- First means [first DLL] for generating each pulse of a second pulse [output from mux of first DLL] sequence in response to a separate pulse of the first pulse sequence with a first delay adjustable by first control data [sel] with a resolution of Tp/N [delta tn] over a first range [T] substantially wider than Tp/M [delta tn-1], wherein M [N-1] and N are differing integers greater than one [fig. 10].
- Second means [second DLL] for generating each pulse of the third pulse sequence in response to a separate pulse of the second pulse sequence with a delay adjustable by a second control data [sel] with a resolution of Tp/M [delta tn-1] over a second range [T] substantially wider than Tp/N [delta tn].
- A programmable sequencer [programmable fine deskew unit] for changing a magnitude of the first control data and a magnitude of the second control data in response to each pulse of the first pulse sequence such that the magnitude of the first and second control data vary repetitively in a programmably adjustable manner [programmable fine deskew unit programs appropriate output tap selection in each DLL in order to shift to desired time resolution of (delta tn-1) (delta tn)].
- 7. As to claims 2 and 5, Christiansen discloses, wherein M [e.g., 4] and N [e.g., 5] are relatively prime [Appendix A].
- 8. As to claim 4, Christiansen discloses, wherein the first range is at least as wide as (1-1/N)Tp and the second range is at least as wide as (1-1/M)Tp [Appendix A; both DLLs cover T].

Art Unit: 2116

- 9. As to claim 6, Christiansen discloses, wherein the third pulse sequence is periodic [TTCrx Architecture; output periodic clock synchronous to the system clock is produced].
- 10. As to claim 7, Christiansen discloses, wherein the first means comprises a plurality of first gates connected in series for generating pulses of the second pulse sequence in response to pulses of the first pulse sequence, wherein each first gate has a switching delay of Tp/N [T/N] [Appendix A].
- 11. As to claim 8, Christiansen discloses, wherein the second means comprises a plurality of second gates connected in series for generating pulses of the third pulse sequence in response to pulses of the second pulse sequence, wherein each second gate has a switching delay of Tp/M [T/N-1] [Appendix A].
- 12. As to claim 11, Christiansen discloses, wherein the first means comprises a plurality of first gates connected in series for generating pulses of the second pulse sequence in response to pulses of the first pulse sequence, wherein the second means comprises a plurality of second gates connected in series for generating pulses of the third pulse sequence in response to pulses of the second pulse sequence, wherein each first gate has a switching delay of Tp/N [T/N], and wherein each second gate has a switching delay of Tp/M [T/N-1] [Appendix A].
- 13. In re claim 20, Christiansen discloses each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 1. Christiansen discloses the apparatus; therefore, Christiansen discloses the method of operating the apparatus.
- 14. As to claims 21 and 24, Christiansen discloses each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 2 and 20.

Art Unit: 2116

15. As to claim 23, Christiansen discloses, wherein the first and second ranges are each at least as wide as Tp [Appendix A; both DLLs cover T].

Page 5

- 16. As to claim 25, Christiansen discloses each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 6 and 20.
- 17. As to claim 26, Christiansen discloses each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 7 and 20.
- 18. As to claim 27, Christiansen discloses each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 8 and 20.
- 19. As to claim 30, Christiansen discloses each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 11 and 20.
- 20. In re claim 34, Christiansen discloses a method for generating pulses of a third pulse [out] sequence in response to pulses of a periodic first pulse sequence [in] having a period Tp [T], wherein timing of each pulse of the third pulse sequence is adjustable with a resolution [delta t] that is smaller than Tp [Appendix A; TTCrx Architecture], the method comprising the steps of:
  - a. Generating each pulse of a second pulse sequence [output from mux of first DLL] in response to a separate pulse of the first pulse sequence with a delay adjustable by a first control data [sel] with a resolution of Tp/N [T/N],
  - b. Generating each pulse of the third pulse sequence in response to a separate pulse of the second pulse sequence with a delay adjustable by a second control data [sel] with a resolution of Tp/M [T/N-1],

Application/Control Number: 09/824,898 Page 6

Art Unit: 2116

c. Generating the first control data and the second control data in response to each pulse of the first pulse sequence, and wherein M [N-1] and N are relatively prime integers greater than one [fig. 10].

21. As to claim 35, Christiansen discloses, wherein step a comprises applying the first pulse sequence as input to a plurality of first gates connected in series so that the first gates generate pulses of the second pulse sequence, wherein step b comprises applying the second pulse sequence as input to a plurality of second gates connected in series so that the second gates generate pulses of the third pulse sequence, wherein each first gate has a switching delay of Tp/N [T/N], and wherein each second gate has a switching delay of Tp/M [T/N-1] [Appendix A].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 22. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 23. Claims 3 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christiansen in view of Heyne, US Patent 6194928.
- 24. Christiansen discloses each and every limitation as discussed above in reference to claim

  1. Christiansen did not disclose that at least one of the first and second ranges is wider than Tp.
- Heyne discloses an apparatus [fig.1] wherein at least one of the fist and second ranges is wider than Tp [in] [abstract; col.2, ll.4-47; wider than input Tp to exceed initially].
- 26. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Christiansen and Hayne before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the apparatus

Art Unit: 2116

taught by Christiansen to include the teachings of Hayne, in order to obtain the claimed apparatus. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to control fluctuations caused by temperature changes in the delay elements [Hayne: col.2, Il.1-55].

- 27. Claims 9-10, 12-14, 17-19, 28-29, 31-33, 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christiansen as applied to claims 8, 11, 17, 27, 30, 35 above, and further in view of Kim, US Patent 6388485.
- 28. Christiansen discloses each and every limitation as discussed above in reference to claim 8 and 11. Christiansen did not discuss the details of the phase detector and loop filter [fig.10].
- 29. Kim discloses an apparatus [30] for generating pulses of a third pulse sequence [iclk] in response to pulses of a periodic first pulse sequence [eclk] having a period Tp [fig.3].
- 30. In re claims 9 and 12, Kim discloses, wherein a second means [30] further comprises M third gates [master 326] connected in series for generating a fourth pulse sequence [fb] in delayed response to the first pulse sequence, wherein each of a second [slave 34] and third gate has a similar switching delay of Tp/M [td] set by the magnitude of a second control signal [dcon1] applied to all of the second and third gates [dcon1 applied to both master/slave gates directly and indirectly to reach target for iclk to be synchronized with eclk] [col.3, ll.33-40, ll.46-63; col.4, ll.13-39; col.4, l.60 col.5, l.2].
- 31. In re claims 10 and 13, Kim discloses, wherein the second means further comprises means [322, 324] for monitoring a phase relationship between the first pulse sequence and the fourth pulse sequence and adjusting the magnitude of the second control signal [dcon1] so that

Art Unit: 2116

the fourth pulse sequence is phase-locked to the first pulse sequence [col.3, ll.33-40, ll.51-60; col.4, ll.13-39].

Page 8

- 32. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Christiansen and Kim before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the apparatus taught by Christiansen to include the teachings of Kim, in order to obtain the claimed apparatus. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to minimize phase noise of an internal clock signal [Kim: col.2, ll.18-21].
- As to claim 14, Christiansen discloses, wherein said plurality of first gates includes N first gates connected in series and delaying the first pulse sequence to produce a fifth pulse sequence [from delay gates to phase detector and loop filter], wherein the switching delay of each of said first gates is controlled by a magnitude of a first control signal supplied as input thereto [control signals going into each respective delay gates as is well known in the art], and wherein the first means further comprises means [phase detector and loop filter] for monitoring the first pulse sequence and the fifth pulse sequence and for adjusting the magnitude of the first control signal so that the fifth pulse sequence is phase-locked to the first pulse sequence [Appendix A].
- 34. In re claim 17, Christiansen and Kim disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 1, 9 and 11.
- 35. In re claim 18, Christiansen and Kim disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 10 and 17.
- 36. In re claim 19, Christiansen and Kim disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 14 and 18.

Page 9

37. In re claims 28 and 31, Christiansen and Kim disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 9 and 27.

- 38. In re claims 29 and 32, Christiansen and Kim disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 10 and 28.
- 39. In re claim 33, Christiansen and Kim disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 14 and 32.
- 40. In re claim 36, Christiansen and Kim disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 9 and 35.
- 41. In re claim 37, Christiansen and Kim disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 10 and 36.
- 42. In re claim 38, Christiansen and Kim disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 14 and 37.

## Response to Arguments

- All rejections of claim limitations as filed prior to Amendment dated December 12, 2005 not argued in entirety or substantively in response filed as said Amendment have been conceded by Applicant and the rejections are maintained from henceforth. Any arguments hereinafter related to said rejections of claim limitations will be considered untimely.
- 44. Applicant's arguments filed December 12, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 45. Applicant alleges that Christiansen does not "produce an output clock signal having a period that differs from Tp". Examiner disagrees and notes Applicant's admission that Christiansen does teach "the data (sel) for controlling the deskew unit is provided via *commands*.

Page 10

Art Unit: 2116

the A and B channels", indicating the existence of a programmable sequencer [i.e., associated with commands] in the broadest interpretation. The existence of a programmable sequencer to issue commands to control the deskew unit by changing the desired time resolution of (delta tn-1) – (delta tn) would inherently result in the "output clock signal having a period that differs from Tp".

- 46. Applicant alleges that "Christiansen's circuit would not work properly if the control data input to the upper and lower multiplexers varied in some repetitive manner..." Examiner was not able to find any explicit evidence in Christiansen's disclosure that supports Applicant's hypothetical conclusion.
- 47. Applicant challenges Examiner's assertion of Official Notice regarding claims 3 and 22. Prior art Hayne, cited in previous office actions, has been provided in the rejection above in response. Examiner submits that the consideration of environmental factors such as temperature for subsequent fine adjustment to maintain accuracy is pertinent to apparatuses such as Christiansen's.
- Applicant alleges that "Kim's circuit ... receive the same periodic input signal ECLK" in contrast to the claimed "first and second gates receiv[ing] different periodic input signals".

  Examiner submits that the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. In the instant case, the second pulse is input to the second means of Christiansen

Art Unit: 2116

while the first pulse is input to the second means of Kim [phase detector and loop filter of Christiansen] as a way to generate the fourth pulse necessary to minimize phase noise.

49. As such, Applicant's arguments are deemed not persuasive and the rejections are respectfully maintained.

### Conclusion

50. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tse Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,898 Page 12

Art Unit: 2116

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tse Chen February 1, 2006 LYNNE H. BROWNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100